

Symbol-Timing Estimation in Space-Time Coding Systems based on Orthogonal Training Sequences

Yik-Chung Wu, Shing-Chow Chan and Erchin Serpedin*

Abstract

Space time coding has received considerable interest recently as a simple transmit diversity technique for improving the capacity and data rate of a channel without bandwidth expansion. Most research work in space-time coding, however, assumed that the symbol timing at the receiver is perfectly known. In practice, this has to be estimated with high accuracy. In this paper, a new symbol-timing estimator for space-time coding systems is proposed. It improves the conventional algorithm of Naguib *et al.* such that accurate timing estimates can be obtained even if the oversampling ratio is small. Analytical Mean-Square Error (MSE) expressions are derived for the proposed estimator. Simulation and analytical results show that, for modest oversampling ratio (such as Q equal to four), the estimation variance of the proposed estimator is significantly smaller than that of the conventional algorithm. The effects of the number of transmit and receive antennas, the oversampling ratio and the length of training sequence on the MSE are also examined.

Index Terms

Symbol-Timing Recovery, Space-Time Coding, Training Sequences, Approximated Log-Likelihood Function

Yik-Chung Wu was with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Hong Kong. He is currently with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3128, USA.

Dr. Shing-Chow Chan is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

Dr. Erchin Serpedin is with the Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3128, USA. Phone: (979) 458 2287, Fax: (979) 862 3128, email: serpedin@ee.tamu.edu.

*The corresponding author is Dr. Erchin Serpedin.

I. INTRODUCTION

Space-time processing using space-time (ST) coding has received considerable interest recently as an efficient means for high rate data transmission [1]-[10]. Symbol-timing synchronization is an important issue in ST coding systems because perfect symbol timing information at the receiver is usually assumed. This problem was first studied in [4], where orthogonal training sequences are transmitted at different transmit antennas to simplify the maximization of the oversampled approximated log-likelihood function. The sample having the largest magnitude, so called the “optimal sample”, is assumed to be closest to the optimum sampling instants (it will be referred as the optimum sample selection algorithm in the sequel for convenience). However, it is shown in this paper that the estimation variance of this algorithm is lower bounded by $1/(12Q^2)$, where Q is the oversampling ratio. As a result, the performance of this timing synchronization method highly depends on the oversampling ratio. In fact, relatively high oversampling ratio might be required for accurate symbol-timing estimation.

In this paper, a new symbol-timing estimator for ST coding systems is proposed. It improves the optimum sample selection algorithm in [4] so that accurate timing estimates can be obtained even if the oversampling ratio is small. The increase in implementation complexity with respect to that of optimum sample selection algorithm is very small. The requirements and the design procedures for the training sequences are discussed. Analytical expressions for Mean Square Error (MSE) of the proposed estimator are derived. Both analytical and simulation results show that, for modest oversampling ratio (such as $Q=4$), the MSE of the proposed estimator is significantly smaller than that of the optimum sample selection algorithm. Furthermore, the effects of the number of transmit and receive antennas, the oversampling ratio, and the length of training sequence on the MSE are also examined.

The paper is organized as follows. The system model of the ST coding system is first described in Section II. A brief overview of the optimum sample selection algorithm for symbol-timing synchronization in ST coding system is given in Section III. Requirements and design of training sequences are discussed in Section IV. The proposed symbol-timing estimator is then presented in Section V. Analytical MSE expressions are derived in Section VI. Simulation results and discussions are then presented in Section VII, and finally conclusions are drawn in Section VIII.

II. SIGNAL MODEL

Both ST block coding and ST trellis coding systems can be described by the same basic communication model [4]. The simplified baseband equivalent model, with N transmit and M receive antennas, is shown in Figure 1. The information is encoded by a ST trellis or block encoder to give the encoded symbols $d_1(l), d_2(l), \dots, d_N(l)$. Each encoded data symbol $d_i(l)$ is pulse shaped and then transmitted simultaneously via different antennas. A superposition of independently faded signals from all the transmit antennas plus noise is received at each receive antenna. The received signal at each receive antenna is first filtered by a matched filter. It is then passed through the symbol timing recovery and the channel estimation units, and finally the ST decoder.

For flat fading channel, the received signal at the j^{th} receive antenna can be written as

$$r_j(t) = \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N h_{ij} \sum_n d_i(n) g(t - nT - \epsilon T) + n_j(t), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M \quad (1)$$

where E_s/N is the symbol energy; h_{ij} 's are the complex channel coefficients between the i^{th} transmit antenna and the j^{th} receive antenna, and are assumed to be statistically independent for different transmit/receive antennas; $d_i(n)$ is the information symbol transmitted from the i^{th} transmit antenna; $g(t)$ is the transmit filter, which is assumed to be a root raised cosine pulse; T is the symbol duration; $\epsilon \in [-0.5, 0.5]$ is the unknown timing offset and $n_j(t)$ is the complex-valued circularly distributed Gaussian white noise at the j^{th} receive antenna, with power density N_o . Throughout this paper, it is assumed that the channel is frequency flat and quasi-static.

Let the received signal be sampled at a rate Q times faster than the symbol rate $1/T$. The sampled and matched filtered signal at the j^{th} receive antenna is given by

$$r_j(m) = \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N h_{ij} \sum_n d_i(n) p(mT/Q - nT - \epsilon T) + \eta_j(m), \quad (2)$$

where¹ $r_j(m) \triangleq r_j(mT/Q)$, $p(t) \triangleq g(t) \otimes g_r(t)$, $\eta_j(m) \triangleq n_j(t) \otimes g_r(t)|_{t=mT/Q}$, and $g_r(t)$ denotes the matched filter. The problem under consideration is to estimate the symbol timing delay ϵ from the received samples in (2).

¹Notation \triangleq stands for "is defined as", and \otimes denotes convolution.

III. TIMING SYNCHRONIZATION BY OPTIMUM SAMPLES SELECTION

As proposed in [4], orthogonal training sequences can be periodically transmitted in between data symbols (as shown in Figure 2) to assist the timing synchronization. Note that the structure of training sequences in this paper is different from that presented in [4]. In this paper, a cyclic prefix and cyclic suffix, each of length L , are included in order to remove the inter-symbol interference (ISI) from the random data transmitted before and after the orthogonal training sequences. Since L is usually kept as a small number, the increase in length of training is very small, especially when the length of the orthogonal training sequences is large. More precisely, let $\mathbf{c}_i = [c_i(0) c_i(1) \dots c_i(L_t - 1)]$ be the i^{th} orthogonal training sequence of length L_t ($i = 1, \dots, N$) to be transmitted from the i^{th} transmit antenna. The sampled signal at the j^{th} receive antenna can be obtained by replacing $d_i(n)$ in (2) with $c_i(n)$. Further, let $m = lQ + k$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, L_t - 1$ and $k = -\lfloor Q/2 - \epsilon \rfloor, -\lfloor Q/2 - \epsilon \rfloor + 1, \dots, -\lfloor Q/2 - \epsilon \rfloor + Q - 1$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the nearest integer less than or equal to x), so that each sample is indexed by the l^{th} training bit and the k^{th} phase. The first phase is taken at $-\lfloor Q/2 - \epsilon \rfloor$ such that all the Q samples for the l^{th} training bit are taken from $-T/2 \leq t - lT \leq T/2$. Then the received signal $r_j(lQ + k)$ due to the orthogonal training sequences can be rewritten as

$$r_j(lQ + k) = \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N h_{ij} \sum_n c_i(n) p(kT/Q + (l - n)T - \epsilon'T) + \eta_j(lQ + k) \quad (3)$$

for $l = 0, 1, \dots, L_t - 1$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots, Q - 1$,

where $\epsilon' \triangleq \epsilon + \lfloor Q/2 - \epsilon \rfloor / Q$. Note that $\lfloor Q/2 - \epsilon \rfloor$ has been dropped from the index of $\eta_j(lQ + k)$ since a fixed shift does not affect the noise statistics. In practice, it is sufficient to estimate ϵ' only as it represents the time difference between the first sample of the training sequence and the next nearest optimum sampling instance. Grouping the samples with the same phase, one can form the vector $\mathbf{r}_j(k)$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{r}_j(k) \triangleq [r_j(k) r_j(Q + k) r_j(Q + 2k) \dots r_j((L_t - 1)Q + k)]^T \quad (4)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N h_{ij} \mathbf{C}_i \mathbf{P}(k) + \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k) \quad (5)$$

where²

$$\mathbf{C}_i \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} c_i(\text{mod}(-L, L_t)) & c_i(\text{mod}(-L+1, L_t)) & \dots & c_i(\text{mod}(L, L_t)) \\ c_i(\text{mod}(-L+1, L_t)) & c_i(\text{mod}(-L+2, L_t)) & \dots & c_i(\text{mod}(L+1, L_t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_i(\text{mod}(-L+L_t-1, L_t)) & c_i(\text{mod}(-L+L_t, L_t)) & \dots & c_i(\text{mod}(L+L_t-1, L_t)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(k) \triangleq [p(kT/Q - LT - \epsilon'T) \ p(kT/Q - (L-1)T - \epsilon'T) \ \dots \ p(kT/Q + LT - \epsilon'T)]^T$$

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k) \triangleq [\eta_j(k) \ \eta_j(Q+k) \ \dots \ \eta_j((L_t-1)Q+k)]^T.$$

Define the sequence $\Psi_{ij}(k) \triangleq \mathbf{c}_i^H \mathbf{r}_j(k)$, where \mathbf{c}_i^H denotes the transpose conjugate of \mathbf{c}_i . Since \mathbf{c}_i 's are orthogonal to each other when the relative delay is zero, it follows that

$$\Psi_{ij}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N}} h_{ij} p\left(\frac{kT}{Q} - \epsilon'T\right) \|\mathbf{c}_i\|^2 + \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N}} \sum_{i'=1}^N h_{i'j} \mathbf{c}_i^H \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{i'} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(k) + \mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k), \quad (6)$$

for $k = 0, \dots, Q-1$, $\|\mathbf{c}_i\|^2 \triangleq \sqrt{\mathbf{c}_i^H \mathbf{c}_i}$ is the norm of \mathbf{c}_i , which is a constant; $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_i$ is the same as \mathbf{C}_i but with the $(L+1)^{\text{th}}$ column removed and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(k)$ is the same as $\mathbf{p}(k)$ but with the $(L+1)^{\text{th}}$ entry removed. The second term in (6) represents the ISI if the training sequences are not orthogonal when the relative delay is not zero. The last term in (6) is the noise term.

From (6), it can be observed that, if the second and third terms are very small, $\Psi_{ij}(k)$ has the same shape as $p(t)$ for $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$, except that it is scaled by a complex channel gain and is corrupted by additive noise. In order to remove the effect of the channel, consider the sequence $\Lambda_{ij}(k) \triangleq |\Psi_{ij}(k)|^2$. Now, the sequence $\Lambda_{ij}(k)$ should have a similar shape to the function $|p(t)|^2$ for $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$. This is illustrated in Figure 3, where an example sequence of $\Lambda_{ij}(k)$ is shown ($Q=8$, $L_t=32$, $L=3$ and in the absence of noise). Note that a scaled version of $|p(t)|^2$ for $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$ is also shown (in dotted line) for comparison. It can be seen that the optimum sampling time is at $t=0$ and the sample with maximum amplitude is the one closest to the optimum sampling instant than the remaining samples.

A simple symbol-timing synchronization algorithm is to choose a value of k closest to the optimum sampling instants. That is, the optimum sampling phase $k = k_0$ is selected such that it maximizes $\Lambda_{ij}(k)$. For multiple transmit and receive antennas, the average of $\Lambda_{ij}(k)$ over all i and j is maximized (see (8), where the scaling factor $1/MN$ is not included in order

²Notation \mathbf{x}^T denotes the transpose of \mathbf{x} .

to preserve a simplified notation). As mentioned in [4], this is in fact the approximated log likelihood function for symbol-timing synchronization, when the ISI plus noise term in (6) is assumed to be Gaussian. Therefore, the optimum sampling phase is selected as

$$k_0 = \max_{k=0,1,\dots,Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) \quad (7)$$

with

$$\Lambda_{ML}(k) = \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^N \Lambda_{ij}(k). \quad (8)$$

Under the optimistic assumption that the samples closest to the optimum sampling positions are correctly estimated (at high Signal-to-Noise Ratio), the estimation error, normalized with respect to the symbol duration, is a uniformly distributed random variable in the range $[-1/2Q, 1/2Q]$. Therefore, the MSE normalized with respect to the symbol duration T is $1/(12Q^2)$. Thus, a relatively high oversampling ratio might be required in order to obtain a small MSE.

IV. DESIGN OF TRAINING SEQUENCES

The performance of the proposed timing estimator is directly influenced by the presence of ISI and noise terms in (6). In order to minimize the contribution of the ISI term in (6), the training sequences need to be designed such that

$$\mathbf{c}_i^H \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{i'} = 0, \quad (9)$$

for all combinations of i and i' . Combining with the fact that sequences from different antennas have to be orthogonal when the relative delay is zero, the problem of training sequences design resumes to finding N sequences such that

$$\mathbf{C}_i^H \mathbf{C}_{i'} = \begin{cases} \|\mathbf{c}_i\|^2 \mathbf{I} & \text{if } i = i' \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq i' \end{cases},$$

where \mathbf{I} denotes the identity matrix. This is exactly the problem of designing multiple $(2L+1)$ -perfect sequences [11], [12]. Here, we just mention the procedures for designing the training sequences, interested readers can refer to the original papers [11][12] for details.

- 1) Construct a sequence $\mathbf{s} = [s(0) \ s(1) \ \dots \ s(L_t - 1)]$ with length L_t such that all of its out-of-phase periodic auto-correlation terms are equal to zero. One example of this kind of sequence is Chu sequence [14].

- 2) Construct another sequence $s' = [s'(0) s'(1) \dots s'(L_t + 2NL - 1)]$ of length $L_t + 2NL$ as follows

$$s' = \underbrace{[s(0) s(1) \dots s(L_t - 1)]}_s s(0) s(1) \dots s(2NL - 1). \quad (10)$$

Note that $L_t \geq 2NL$ must be satisfied. That is, if the number of transmit antenna N is large, we cannot use training sequences with short length.

- 3) The orthogonal training sequences are given by

$$c_i = [s'((2i - 1)L) \dots s'((2i - 1)L + L_t - 1)]. \quad (11)$$

For example, let consider $L_t=32$, $L=3$, $N=2$. First we construct a Chu sequence of length 32. Then cyclically extend the Chu sequence by copying the first $2 \times 2 \times 3 = 12$ bits and putting them at the back. Then, $c_1 = [s'(3) s'(4) \dots s'(34)]$ and $c_2 = [s'(9) s'(10) \dots s'(40)]$.

V. TIMING SYNCHRONIZATION BY ESTIMATION

First of all, let's construct a periodic sequence $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m)$ by periodically extending the approximated log likelihood sequence $\Lambda_{ML}(k)$ in (8). Further, denote $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ as the continuous and periodic approximated log likelihood function with its samples given by $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m)$. According to sampling theorem, as long as the sampling frequency Q/T is higher than twice the highest frequency of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$, then $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ can be represented by its samples $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m)$ without loss of information. The relationship between $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m)$ is then given by

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m) \text{sinc} \left(\pi \frac{\hat{\epsilon}'T - mT/Q}{T/Q} \right). \quad (12)$$

Now, expand $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ into a Fourier series

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}') = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} A_p e^{j2\pi p \hat{\epsilon}'} \quad (13)$$

where

$$A_p = \int_0^T \tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}') e^{-j2\pi p \hat{\epsilon}'} d\hat{\epsilon}'. \quad (14)$$

Substituting (12) into (14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
A_p &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m) \int_0^T \text{sinc}\left(\pi \frac{\hat{\epsilon}'T - mT/Q}{T/Q}\right) e^{-j2\pi p\hat{\epsilon}'} d\hat{\epsilon}' \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^T \text{sinc}\left(\pi \frac{\hat{\epsilon}'T - lT - kT/Q}{T/Q}\right) e^{-j2\pi p\hat{\epsilon}'} d\hat{\epsilon}' \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) e^{-j2\pi pk/Q} \frac{1}{Q} \mathfrak{F}\{\text{sinc}(\pi\hat{\epsilon}')\}_{f=p/Q}, \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}\{\}$ denotes the Fourier transform. It is clear that if Q is even,

$$A_p = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) e^{-j2\pi pk/Q} & \text{for } p = -Q/2 + 1, \dots, Q/2 - 1 \\ \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) e^{-j2\pi pk/Q} & \text{for } p = -Q/2, Q/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and if Q is odd,

$$A_p = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) e^{-j2\pi pk/Q} & \text{for } p = -\lfloor Q/2 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor Q/2 \rfloor \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (13), it can be seen that once the coefficients A_p are determined, the timing delay ϵ' can be estimated by maximizing $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ for $0 \leq \hat{\epsilon}' \leq 1$. For efficient implementation, $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ for $0 \leq \hat{\epsilon}' \leq 1$ can be approximated by an K -point sequence, denoted as $\Lambda_{ML}(\hat{k})$ for $0 \leq \hat{k} \leq K - 1$, by zero padding the high frequencies coefficients of A_p and performing a K -point inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT). For sufficiently large value of K , $\Lambda_{ML}(\hat{k})$ becomes very close to $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ for $0 \leq \hat{\epsilon}' \leq 1$, and the index with the maximum amplitude can be viewed as an improved estimate of the timing parameter ϵ' .

To avoid the complexity in performing the K -point IDFT, an approximation³ is applied to (13). More precisely,

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}') \approx A_0 + 2\Re\{A_1 e^{j2\pi\hat{\epsilon}'}\} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \hat{\epsilon}' \leq 1, \tag{16}$$

where $\Re\{x\}$ stands for real part of x . In order to maximize the approximated log likelihood function $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$, we have

$$\arg(A_1) = -2\pi\hat{\epsilon}', \tag{17}$$

³A similar approximation has been applied in [15].

where $\arg(x)$ denotes the phase of x . Or equivalently,

$$\hat{\epsilon}' = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \arg\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) e^{-j2\pi k/Q}\right\}. \quad (18)$$

The estimated delay $\hat{\epsilon}'$ is the time between the first sampling phase and the nearest optimum sampling instant. The calculation within the \arg -operation is actually the 2^{nd} output of an Q -point Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of the sequence (or the Fourier coefficient at symbol rate $f = 1/T$). Note that the increase in complexity of the proposed algorithm in (18) with respect to that of optimum samples selection algorithm is only a Q -point DFT (which can be efficiently implemented using Goertzel's algorithm) and an \arg -operation. From the simulation results to be presented at Section VII, it is found that an oversampling factor Q of 4 is sufficient to yield good estimates in practical applications. Therefore, the 4-point DFT in (18) can be computed easily without any multiplications since $\exp(-j2\pi k/4) \in \{\pm 1, \pm j\}$. This greatly reduces the arithmetic complexity of implementation.

VI. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

We derive the MSE expressions of the proposed estimator in this section. Since we can construct orthogonal sequences such that (9) is satisfied, the ISI term in (6) vanishes. Further with the fact that $\|\mathbf{c}_i\|^2 = L_t$, we have

$$\Lambda_{ML}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M |\Psi_{ij}(k)|^2 = W^2 h p^2 (kT/Q - \epsilon'T) + v(k) \quad (19)$$

where

$$W \triangleq \sqrt{E_s/N} L_t \quad (20)$$

$$h \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M |h_{ij}|^2 \quad (21)$$

$$v(k) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \{|\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k)|^2 + 2W^2 p(kT/Q - \epsilon'T) \Re\{h_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k))^*\}\}. \quad (22)$$

Let

$$\epsilon' = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \arg(e^{-j2\pi\epsilon'}) \quad (23)$$

as the true delay. Taking the difference between (18) and (23), the MSE is given by

$$\mathbf{E}[(\hat{\epsilon}' - \epsilon')^2] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\arctan \left\{ \frac{\Im \phi}{\Re \phi} \right\} \right)^2 \right] \quad (24)$$

$$\approx \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\frac{\phi - \phi^*}{j(\phi + \phi^*)} \right)^2 \right] \quad (25)$$

$$\approx - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{\mathbf{E}[\phi^2] - 2\mathbf{E}[\phi\phi^*] + \mathbf{E}[(\phi^*)^2]}{\mathbf{E}[\phi^2] + 2\mathbf{E}[\phi\phi^*] + \mathbf{E}[(\phi^*)^2]} \quad (26)$$

$$= - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{\Re\{\mathbf{E}[\phi^2]\} - \mathbf{E}[\phi\phi^*]}{\Re\{\mathbf{E}[\phi^2]\} + \mathbf{E}[\phi\phi^*]}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\triangleq e^{j2\pi\epsilon'} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{ML}(k) e^{-j2\pi k/Q}, \\ &= W^2 h e^{j2\pi\epsilon'} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} p^2(kT/Q - \epsilon'T) e^{-j2\pi k/Q} + e^{j2\pi\epsilon'} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} v(k) e^{-j2\pi k/Q}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and in passing from (26) to (27), the fact $\mathbf{E}[(\phi^*)^2] = (\mathbf{E}[\phi^2])^*$ was used. What remains to do is to calculate $\mathbf{E}[\phi^2]$ and $\mathbf{E}[\phi\phi^*]$.

From (28), we have

$$\mathbf{E}[\phi^2] = W^4 \mathbf{E}[h^2] e^{j4\pi\epsilon'} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} p^2(kT/Q - \epsilon'T) e^{-j2\pi k/Q} \right)^2 + e^{j4\pi\epsilon'} \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} v(k) e^{-j2\pi k/Q} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (29)$$

The cross terms vanish since h and $v(k)$ are uncorrelated and it is proved in Appendix I that $\mathbf{E}[v(k)]$ is a constant and independent of k . Note that h is a central chi-square random variable with $2MN$ degree of freedom and variance in each dimension equals 0.5, so $\mathbf{E}[h^2] = MN(1 + MN)$. It is further proved in Appendix I that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[v(k')v(k'')] &= MN(MN + \varphi^2((k' - k'')T/Q)) N_o^2 L_t^2 \\ &\quad + 2MNN_o L_t W^2 p(k'T/Q - \epsilon'T) p(k''T/Q - \epsilon'T) \varphi((k' - k'')T/Q) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$\varphi(\tau) \triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_r(t) g_r^*(t + \tau) dt \quad (31)$$

is the correlation between noise samples introduced by the matched filter. Using (30), it can be easily shown that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} v(k) e^{-j2\pi k/Q} \right)^2 \right] = 2MNN_o L_t W^2 \Xi_{SN} + MNN_o^2 L_t^2 \Xi_{NN}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\Xi_{SN} \triangleq \sum_{k'=0}^{Q-1} \sum_{k''=0}^{Q-1} p(k'T/Q - \epsilon'T) p(k''T/Q - \epsilon'T) \varphi((k' - k'')T/Q) e^{-j2\pi k''/Q} e^{-j2\pi k'/Q} \quad (33)$$

$$\Xi_{NN} \triangleq \sum_{k'=0}^{Q-1} \sum_{k''=0}^{Q-1} \varphi^2((k' - k'')T/Q) e^{-j2\pi k''/Q} e^{-j2\pi k'/Q}. \quad (34)$$

Plugging (32) back into (29), an expression for $\mathbf{E}[\phi^2]$ is obtained. A similar procedure can be applied to obtain the expression for $\mathbf{E}[\phi\phi^*]$. After some straightforward manipulations, it can be shown that the MSE for a specific delay ϵ' is given by

$$\mathbf{E}[(\hat{\epsilon}' - \epsilon')^2] = - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \frac{\Re\{\mathbf{E}[\tilde{\phi}^2]\} - \mathbf{E}[\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}^*]}{\Re\{\mathbf{E}[\tilde{\phi}^2]\} + \mathbf{E}[\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}^*]}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}[\tilde{\phi}^2] = L_t^2 \frac{1 + MN}{N^2} e^{j4\pi\epsilon'} (\Xi_{SS})^2 + \left(\frac{E_s}{N_o} \right)^{-1} \frac{2L_t}{N} e^{j4\pi\epsilon'} \Xi_{SN} + \left(\frac{E_s}{N_o} \right)^{-2} e^{j4\pi\epsilon'} \Xi_{NN}, \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}^*] = L_t^2 \frac{1 + MN}{N^2} |\Xi_{SS}|^2 + \left(\frac{E_s}{N_o} \right)^{-1} \frac{2L_t}{N} \Xi_{SN} + \left(\frac{E_s}{N_o} \right)^{-2} \Xi_{NN}, \quad (37)$$

with

$$\Xi_{SS} = \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} p^2(kT/Q - \epsilon'T) e^{-j2\pi k/Q}. \quad (38)$$

Since the timing delay is assumed to be uniformly distributed, the average MSE can be calculated by numerical integration of (35).

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

The performances of the synchronizers based on the optimum sample selection (7) and the proposed algorithm (18) are evaluated in this section. The MSE (normalized with respect to symbol duration T) of the estimates are calculated using both the analytic expressions derived in the last section and Monte Carlo simulations, where each MSE-value is obtained by averaging over 10^5 estimates. Since it is assumed that the first sample of the training

sequence can be correctly identified, the timing offset ϵ becomes uniformly distributed in the interval $[-1/2Q, 1/2Q]$. The channel coefficients h_{ij} are generated as complex Gaussian random variables with zero mean and a variance of 0.5 per dimension. The raised cosine pulse with excess bandwidth $\alpha = 0.3$ is considered. The training sequences are generated following the procedures in Section IV with $L = 4$. In all the figures, MSE of both the proposed algorithm and the optimum sample selection algorithm are plotted against E_s/N_o , with the markers showing the simulation results, while the solid lines represent the theoretical MSE derived in the last section.

A. Effect of Oversampling Ratio

In (12), it is assumed that the sampling frequency is at least twice the highest frequency of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$. Since $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ has the same shape as $|p(t)|^2$ for $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$, where $p(t)$ is a raised cosine pulse, it is natural to predict that the sampling frequency Q/T has to be greater than $2 \times 2/T$ (i.e., $Q \geq 4$). This prediction is corroborated by Figure 4, where the MSE are shown for $Q=2, 4, 8$ and 16 in a two-transmit, four-receive antenna system with $L_t=32$. Several conclusions can be drawn from the figure:

- 1) Performances of the optimum sample selection algorithm are lower bounded by $1/(12Q^2)$, and are poorer than that of the proposed algorithm, for all value of Q (except $Q=2$, in which case the performances of both algorithms are the same).
- 2) It can be seen that for $Q=2$, the MSE of the proposed algorithm is much higher than other oversampling ratio. This confirms the above argument that Q has to be greater than 4 in order to represent $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ without much loss of information. For $Q=8$ and 16 the performance improves at high E_s/N_o . This can be explained by the fact that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ is a truncated version of $|p(t)|^2$, so $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ is no longer bandlimited. Therefore, $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m)$ would, in general, suffer from aliasing from the neighboring spectra. Increasing Q thus reduces the aliasing and improves the performance.
- 3) The analytical MSEs (solid lines in the figure) match very well with the simulation results for $Q=4, 8$ and 16 . Note that for $Q=2$, the analytic MSE expression doesn't hold and only the simulation results have been plotted in Figure 4.
- 4) Strictly speaking, Q should be at least equal to 16 in order to represent $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(\hat{\epsilon}')$ using its samples $\tilde{\Lambda}_{ML}(m)$ without loss of information. However, for $Q=4$, the MSE of the proposed algorithm reaches the order of 10^{-5} at medium and high E_s/N_o , which is a reasonably

good performance in practical applications. Because of this reason, $Q = 4$ is used to generate the simulation results for the rest of this paper.

B. Effect of Length of Training Sequences

Figure 5 shows the MSE of a two-transmit, four-receive antenna system with different lengths (L_t) of the training sequences. In this figure, it can be seen that increasing the length of training sequences improves the performance at low E_s/N_o . But at high E_s/N_o , the MSEs are the same for all L_t . Again, the performances of the proposed algorithm is much better than that of optimum samples selection algorithm. It is also notable that the analytic MSE expressions match the simulation results very well.

C. Effect of Number of Receive Antennas

Figure 6 compares the MSE for different number of receive antennas when two transmit antennas and $L_t = 32$ are used. We can see that increasing the number of receive antennas reduces the MSE at low E_s/N_o , but it does not help at high E_s/N_o . The proposed algorithm exhibits much smaller MSE than the optimum sample selection algorithm. When comparing the theoretical and simulation results of the proposed algorithm, it can be seen that they match pretty well except for $M = 1$ case. This is due to the fact that the arctan approximation in (25), in general, holds only for AWGN channels⁴ but not for fading channels. In the presence of fading, the channel output may assume a large range of values and the approximation does not hold anymore. Of course, a better approximation, such as $\arctan(x) \approx x - x^3/3 + x^5/5$ may be used, but the analysis would become extremely complicated as higher order moments are involved. Fortunately, as the number transmit or receive antenna increases, the equivalent averaged channel across all transmit/receive antennas tends to behave like an AWGN channel and the approximation becomes valid again. This can be seen from the cases $M = 2$ and $M = 4$, the theoretical and the simulation results are closer when compared with the $M = 1$ case. For $M = 8$ and $M = 16$, the theoretical and the simulation results match exactly.

⁴Note that this approximation has been applied in similar applications [16], [17] in AWGN channels only.

D. Effect of Number of Transmit Antennas

Finally, we assess the MSE when different number of transmit antennas are used with $L_t = 64$. The results shown in Figure 7 illustrate that increasing the number of transmit antennas does not change the MSE performances. The theoretical and the simulation results for the proposed algorithm match very well. Once again, the proposed algorithm performs much better.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

A new symbol-timing delay estimator for ST coding systems has been proposed. It improves the optimum sample selection algorithm of Naguib *et al.* [4] such that accurate timing estimates are obtained even if the oversampling ratio is small. The increase in implementation complexity with respect to the optimum sample selection algorithm is very small. The requirements and the design procedure for the training sequences are discussed. Analytical expressions for MSE of the proposed estimator are derived. It is shown that the MSE analytical expressions match very well with the simulation results in most of the cases. Simulations results also show that, for modest oversampling ratio (such as $Q=4$), the MSE of the proposed estimator is significantly smaller than that of the optimum sample selection algorithm. Furthermore, the performance of the proposed algorithm improves with the number of receive antennas being employed or the length of training sequences.

Appendix I: Proof of $\mathbf{E}[v(k)]$ is a constant and (30)

We first note the following facts

$$\mathbf{E}[\eta_j(l_1Q + k')] = 0 \quad \forall j, l_1, k' \quad (39)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[h_{ij}\eta_j(l_1Q + k')] = 0 \quad \forall i, j, l_1, k' \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[\eta_j(l_1Q + k')\eta_{j'}(l_2Q + k'')] = 0 \quad \forall j, j', l_1, l_2, k', k'' \quad (41)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[\eta_j(l_1Q + k')\eta_{j'}^*(l_2Q + k'')] = N_o\varphi(((l_1 - l_2)Q + k' - k'')T/Q)\delta_{jj'} \quad (42)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[h_{ij}h_{i'j'}] = 0 \quad \forall i, i', j, j' \quad (43)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[h_{ij}h_{i'j'}^*] = \delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'} \quad (44)$$

where $\delta_{ii'} = 1$ if $i = i'$ and zero otherwise. Since the matched filter is a root raised cosine filter, we also have

$$\varphi(0) = 1 \quad (45)$$

$$\varphi(\tau) = \varphi(-\tau) \quad (46)$$

$$\varphi(lT) = 0 \quad \text{for } l \neq 0. \quad (47)$$

Let

$$v_{ij}(k) = |\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k)|^2 + 2W^2 p(kT/Q - \epsilon'T) \Re \{ h_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k))^* \} \quad (48)$$

such that $v(k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M v_{ij}(k)$. The mean of $v_{ij}(k)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[v_{ij}(k)] &= \sum_{l=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l'=0}^{L_t-1} c_i(l') c_i^*(l) \mathbf{E}[\eta_j(lQ+k) \eta_j^*(l'Q+k)] \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L_t-1} |c_i(l)|^2 \mathbf{E}[|\eta_j(lQ+k)|^2] \\ &= N_o L_t, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where in the first equality, we applied (40) and in the second equality, we applied (47). Therefore, $\mathbf{E}[v(k)] = MN N_o L_t$ is a constant and independent of k .

The second moment of $v_{ij}(k)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[v_{ij}(k') v_{ij'}(k'')] &= \mathbf{E} [|\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k')|^2 |\mathbf{c}_{i'}^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}(k'')|^2] + 4W^2 p(k'T/Q - \epsilon'T) p(k''T/Q - \epsilon'T) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E} \{ \Re \{ h_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k'))^* \} \Re \{ h_{i'j'} (\mathbf{c}_{i'}^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}(k''))^* \} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Note that (40) makes the cross terms vanish. Considering the first term in (50),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} [|\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k')|^2 |\mathbf{c}_{i'}^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}(k'')|^2] &= \sum_{l_1=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_4=0}^{L_t-1} c_i^*(l_1) c_i(l_2) c_{i'}^*(l_3) c_{i'}(l_4) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}[\eta_j(l_1Q+k') \eta_j^*(l_2Q+k') \eta_{j'}(l_3Q+k'') \eta_{j'}^*(l_4Q+k'')]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Using the fact that if a, b, c, d are jointly Gaussian, then

$$\mathbf{E}[abcd] = \mathbf{E}[ab] \mathbf{E}[cd] + \mathbf{E}[ac] \mathbf{E}[bd] + \mathbf{E}[ad] \mathbf{E}[bc] + \mathbf{E}[a] \mathbf{E}[b] \mathbf{E}[c] \mathbf{E}[d], \quad (52)$$

and applying (39), (41) and (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}[\eta_j(l_1Q + k')\eta_j^*(l_2Q + k')\eta_{j'}(l_3Q + k'')\eta_j^*(l_4Q + k'')] \\
&= N_o^2 [\varphi((l_1 - l_2)T)\varphi((l_3 - l_4)T)] \\
& \quad + N_o^2 [\varphi((l_1 - l_4)T + (k' - k'')T/Q)\varphi((l_2 - l_3)T + (k' - k'')T/Q)] \delta_{jj'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Plugging this result back into (51), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} [|\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k')|^2 |\mathbf{c}_{i'}^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}(k'')|^2] &= N_o^2 L_t^2 + N_o^2 \left\{ \sum_{l_1=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_4=0}^{L_t-1} c_i^*(l_1) c_{i'}(l_4) \varphi((l_1 - l_4)T + (k' - k'')T/Q) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \sum_{l_2=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{L_t-1} c_i^*(l_2) c_{i'}(l_3) \varphi((l_2 - l_3)T + (k' - k'')T/Q) \right\} \delta_{jj'}. \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider first $i \neq i'$. We note that the second term in (54) is approximately zero since $\varphi(\tau)$ is a decaying function of τ . When $l_1 = l_4$ or $|l_1 - l_4|$ is small, $\varphi((l_1 - l_4)T + (k' - k'')T/Q)$ has significant values. But in these cases, $\sum_{l_1=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_4=0}^{L_t-1} c_i^*(l_1) c_{i'}(l_4) = 0$ since the training sequences are designed such that they are orthogonal when the relative delay is small. When $|l_1 - l_4|$ is large, $\varphi((l_1 - l_4)T + (k' - k'')T/Q) \approx 0$. The same argument applies to $\varphi((l_2 - l_3)T + (k' - k'')T/Q)$. For $i = i'$, the only case that the second term in (54) is nonzero is when $l_1 = l_4$ and $l_2 = l_3$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{E} [|\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k')|^2 |\mathbf{c}_{i'}^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j'}(k'')|^2] = N_o^2 L_t^2 (1 + \varphi^2((k' - k'')T/Q) \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'}). \tag{55}$$

Now consider the second term of (50) (ignoring the non-random part at this moment). Expanding it out and applying (43) and (44), we note that it is zero except for the case $i = i'$ and $j = j'$, in which case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\mathbf{E} \{ \Re \mathbf{e} [h_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k'))^*] \Re \mathbf{e} [h_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k''))^*] \} \\
&= \mathbf{E} [h_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k'))^* h_{ij}^* (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k''))] + \mathbf{E} [h_{ij}^* (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k')) h_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i^H \boldsymbol{\eta}_j(k''))^*] \\
&= N_o \mathbf{E} [|h_{ij}|^2] \sum_{l_1=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{L_t-1} c_i(l_1) c_i^*(l_2) \varphi((l_2 - l_1)T + (k'' - k')T/Q) \\
& \quad + N_o \mathbf{E} [|h_{ij}|^2] \sum_{l_1=0}^{L_t-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{L_t-1} c_i^*(l_1) c_i(l_2) \varphi((l_1 - l_2)T + (k' - k'')T/Q) \\
&= 2N_o L_t \varphi((k' - k'')T/Q). \tag{56}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (55) and (56) back into (50), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[v_{ij}(k')v_{i'j'}(k'')] &= N_o^2 L_t^2 + N_o^2 L_t^2 \varphi^2((k' - k'')T/Q) \delta_{i'i'} \delta_{j'j'} \\ &+ 2N_o L_t W^2 p(k'T/Q - \epsilon'T) p(k''T/Q - \epsilon'T) \varphi((k' - k'')T/Q) \delta_{i'i'} \delta_{j'j'}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[v(k')v(k'')] &= \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{E}[v_{ij}(k')v_{ij}(k'')] + \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{j'=1 \\ j' \neq j}}^M \sum_{\substack{i'=1 \\ i' \neq i}}^N \mathbf{E}[v_{ij}(k')v_{i'j'}(k'')] \\ &= MN(MN + \varphi^2((k' - k'')T/Q)) N_o^2 L_t^2 \\ &+ 2MNN_o L_t W^2 p(k'T/Q - \epsilon'T) p(k''T/Q - \epsilon'T) \varphi((k' - k'')T/Q). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. Naguib, N. Seshadri and A. R. Calderbank, "Increasing data rate over wireless channels," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 17, pp. 76-92, May 2000.
- [2] V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani and A. R. Calderbank, "Space-time block coding for wireless communications: performance results," *IEEE J. Select. Areas in Commun.*, vol. 17, pp. 451-460, Mar. 1999.
- [3] S. M. Alamouti, "A simple transmit diversity technique for wireless communications," *IEEE J. Select. Areas in Commun.*, vol. 16, pp. 1451-1458, Oct. 1998.
- [4] A. F. Naguib, V. Tarokh, N. Seshadri and A. R. Calderbank, "A space-time coding modem for high-data-rate wireless communications," *IEEE J. Select. Areas in Commun.*, vol. 16, pp. 1459-1478, Oct. 1998.
- [5] V. Tarokh, N. Seshadri and A. R. Calderbank, "Space-time codes for high rate wireless communication: performance criterion and code construction," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, pp. 744-765, Mar. 1998.
- [6] E. G. Larsson, P. Stoica and J. Li, "On the maximum-likelihood detection and decoding for space-time coding system," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 50, pp. 937-944, Apr. 2002.
- [7] H. E. Gamal, "On the robustness of space-time coding," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 50, pp. 2417-2428, Oct. 2002.
- [8] Z. Liu and G. B. Giannakis, "Space-time block coded multiple access through frequency selective fading channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 49, pp. 1033-1045, June 2001.
- [9] A. R. Hammons Jr. and H. E. Gamal, "On the theory of space-time codes for PSK modulation," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, pp. 524-542, Mar. 2000.
- [10] G. Yi and K. B. Letaief, "Performance evaluation and analysis of space-time coding in unequalized multipath fading links," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 48, pp. 1778-1782, Nov 2000.
- [11] A. Fragouli, N. Al-Dhahir and W. Turin, "Finite-alphabet constant-amplitude training sequence for multiple-antenna broadband transmission," *Proc. of ICC 2002*, pp. 6-10.
- [12] A. Fragouli, N. Al-Dhahir and W. Turin, "Reduced-complexity training schemes for multiple-antenna broadband transmissions," *Proc. of WCNC 2002*, pp. 78-83.
- [13] A. Fragouli, N. Al-Dhahir and W. Turin, "Training-based channel estimation for multiple-antenna broadband transmissions," *IEEE Trans. on Wireless Communications*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 384-391, March 2003.

- [14] D. C. Chu, "Polyphase codes with good periodic correlation properties," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, pp. 531-532, Jul. 1972.
- [15] M. Morelli, A. N. D' Andrea and U. Mengali, "Feedforward ML-based timing estimation with PSK signals," *IEEE Commun. Letters*, vol. 1, pp. 80-82, May 1997.
- [16] M. Oerder and H. Meyr, "Digital filter and square timing recovery," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 36, pp. 605-611, May 1988.
- [17] A. A. D'Amico, A. N. D'Andrea and U. Mengali, "Feedforward joint phase and timing estimation with OQPSK modulation," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Tech.*, vol. 48, pp. 824-832, May 1999.




Fig. 1. Simplified baseband equivalent model for space-time coding system.




Fig. 2. Structure of the training sequence for symbol timing synchronization in a two transmit antennas system.




Fig. 3. An example of $\Lambda_{ij}(k)$ with the scaled version of $|p(t)|^2$ for $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$ (dotted line).




Fig. 4. MSE performance for different oversampling ratio Q ($N=2$, $M=4$, $L_t=32$, $\alpha=0.3$).




Fig. 5. MSE performance for different lengths of the training sequence ($N=2$, $M=4$, $Q=4$, $\alpha=0.3$).




Fig. 6. MSE performance for different number of receive antenna M ($N=2$, $L_t=32$, $Q=4$, $\alpha=0.3$).




Fig. 7. MSE performance for different number of transmit antenna N ($L_t=64$, $Q=4$, $\alpha=0.3$, $M=2$).